

March 24, 1975

request of the Chair that before any appropriation bill, the vote is called for, if he would announce what vote he is going to require to declare that vote passed. I think this too might help in the voting. Here again I believe there was a distinct misunderstanding as to the required votes. I think this is an infrequency happening and does not justify a change in the rules.

PRESIDENT: Senator Burrows.

SENATOR BURROWS: Mr. Chairman, members of the Legislature, I have a bill coming up that \$17,000 plus pricetag put on which I had no intent of any pricetag like that being put on it. I feel that if we had to have the appropriations bill it would make it possible for an agency to set up a price tag on a bill and see the bill killed, basically, by that price tag, which the introducer never intended. This bill I want done at the rate they can afford within the present expenditure. It's LB 335. I think it would be a bad mistake to have to include the A bill to pass a bill because sometimes the agency requests come into this A bill and what they would like to have. They might be requested by the Legislature to do things they would not like to do without additional money. I think they should have to do it. Thank you.

PRESIDENT: Senator Kelly.

SENATOR KELLY: Mr. President, motion on the desk.

CLERK: Mr. President, there's a motion on the desk to delete from the rule change "if it passes".

SENATOR KELLY: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, the idea for this amendment to the rule change would be to allow the Legislature to vote on each issue. We would just change the time when you vote. You would vote on the A bill first, then you would vote on the bill itself. This way you'd still have the opportunity to split your vote.

PRESIDENT: Senator Barnett are you ready to close debate by way of reply?

SENATOR BARNETT: No, we've got an amendment before us.

PRESIDENT: Oh, excuse me.

SENATOR BARNETT: I was going to say that I would certainly agree that that'd be a good amendment. That would take care of some of the people that have already talked in opposition. You'd still read both bills. It might answer Senator Burrows question too, because he's going to look silly if he gets \$17,000 on it, puts enabling legislation on there and then they don't fund it.

PRESIDENT: Senator Barnett, since you're in favor of the Kelly amendment, no other lights are on, nobody's spoken to answer Senator Kelly, then I'll . . . there's been no speaker since you moved your motion so we'll vote on that now.